#### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General
of the State of Illinois,

Complainant,

Vs.

No. PCB 05-89
(Enforcement-Water)

REDFEARN EARTHMOVING, INC., an
Illinois corporation,

Respondent.

#### NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Michael A. Toepfer
Vincent Roth & Toepfer P.C.
125 East Main Street
P.O. Box 685
Warren, Illinois 61087-0685

Dorothy Gunn, Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control
Board, Suite 11-500
James R. Thompson Center
100 W. Randolph Street
Chicago, IL 60601

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board an original and nine copies of the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, an Agreed Motion for Relief from the Hearing Requirement, Notice of Filing and a Certificate of Service, a copy of which is attached herewith and served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

BY:

Zsmitu Bink H

ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AB
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
188 W. Randolph St., 20th Fl.
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 814-3816

DATE: April 21, 2005

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

## BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARDECEIVED

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, Complainant,	APR 2 1 2005 ) STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board )
vs.	) No. PCB 05-89 (Enforcement-Water)
REDFEARN EARTHMOVING, an Illinois corporation,	)
Respondent	

#### AGREED MOTION TO REQUEST RELIEF FROM THE HEARING REQUIREMENT

In support of this Motion, the parties state as follows:

- 1. Today, the People of the State of Illinois, filed a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, with the Illinois Pollution Control Board.
- 2. Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2)(2002) provides:

Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (1) of this subsection (c), whenever a complaint has been filed on behalf of the Agency or by the People of the State of Illinois, the parties may file with the Board a stipulation and proposal for settlement accompanied by a request for relief from the requirement of a hearing pursuant to subdivision (1)...

3. Complainant and Respondent agree that a formal hearing is not necessary to conclude this matter and wish to avail themselves of Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2)(2002).

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request relief from the hearing requirement pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Act.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

ROSEMARIE CAZEAU, Chief Environmental Bureau

BY:

Zametral Benk HS

ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AB
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
188 W. Randolph St., 20th Fl.
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 814-3816

DATE: April 21, 2005

 ${\tt G:\environmental\ Enforcement\Z\ BEREKET-AB\Redfearn\ Agreed\ Mot\ to\ Req\ Relief\ 4-21-05.wpd}$ 

# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD CLERK'S OFFICE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,	) APR 2 1 2005
Complainant,	) STATE OF ILLINOIS ) Pollution Control Board
<b>v.</b>	) PCB 05-89
REDFEARN EARTHMOVING, INC., an Illinois corporation,	) (Enforcement-Water)
Respondent.	

### STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and REDFEARN EARTHMOVING, INC. ("Respondent"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. The parties agree that the statement of facts contained herein represent a fair summary of the evidence and testimony which would be introduced by the parties if a hearing were held. The parties further stipulate that this statement of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and that neither the fact that a party has entered into this Stipulation, nor any of the facts stipulated herein, shall be introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the claims asserted in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. If the Board approves and enters this Stipulation, Respondent agrees to be bound by the Stipulation and Board Order and not to contest their validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce their terms.

## I. JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2002).

## II. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

## **III. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

## A. Parties

- 1. On November 9, 2004, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(2002), against the Respondent.
- 2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002).
- 3. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Illinois corporation that is authorized to transact business in the State of Illinois.

## B. Site Description

1. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was engaged in a construction project to expand its parking lot at its office located at 1480 Route 20 West, Elizabeth, Jo Davies County, Illinois ("Site").

- 2. On May 8, 2003, the Illinois EPA inspected the construction site and observed that the construction activity was being conducted on land estimated to be more than one acre and less than five acres. The Illinois EPA observed that the Site did not have any erosion controls in place and that there was evidence of erosion that had occurred previously. The slope of the ravine was deep and erosion was evident.
- 3. On June 9, 2003, the Illinois EPA sent Redfearn a violation notice for Respondent's failure to obtain coverage under the general NPDES storm water permit for construction site activities ("storm water NPDES permit") for the Site.
- 4. On June 16, 2003, Redfearn submitted to the Illinois EPA a notice of intent ("NOI") for coverage under the storm water NPDES permit for the Site. The NOI submitted by Redfearn indicated that the total size of the construction Site was approximately five (5) acres. On July 24, 2003, the Illinois EPA granted Redfearn coverage under the storm water NPDES permit.

## C. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I:

Water Pollution:

Violation of Section 12(f) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(f)(2002) and 35 III. Adm. Code 309.102(a).

## D. Admission of Violations

The Respondent admits to the violations alleged in the Complaint filed in this matter and referenced within Section III.C herein.

#### IV. APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, and any officer, director, agent, or employee of the Respondent, as well as any successors or assigns of the Respondent. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

#### V. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations including, but not limited to, the Act and the Board regulations, 35 III. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

## VI. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c)(2002), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

- 1. the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
- 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and

5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, Complainant states the following:

- 1. Storm water runoff from the Site posed a potential threat of water pollution to waters of the State.
- 2. There is social and economic benefit to the expansion of the parking lot at the Site.
- 3. Operation of the parking lot expansion was suitable for the area where the storm water runoff occurred.
- 4. Complying with the requirements of the Act, Board regulations, and permit conditions was both technically practicable and economically reasonable.
  - 5. Respondent is now in compliance.

## VII. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h)(2002), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing

- voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, Complainant states as follows:

- 1. Evidence of erosion caused by storm water runoff at the Site was observed at least on one occasion.
- 2. As soon as Respondent was made aware of the violations, it took steps to to comply with the requirements of the Act and Board regulations by applying for coverage under the storm water NPDES permit.
- 3. Economic benefits accrued by the Respondent are believed to be substantially less than the \$2,000.00 penalty agreed herein.
- 4. The civil penalty agreed upon in this matter will serve to deter further violations of the Act and Board regulations by Respondent and aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.
- 5. Complainant is presently unaware of prior enforcement actions against Respondent.
  - 6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter.

7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project.

#### VIII. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

## A. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The Respondent stipulates that payment has been tendered to Respondent's attorney of record in this matter in a form acceptable to that attorney. Further, Respondent stipulates that said attorney has been directed to make the penalty payment on behalf of Respondent, within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation, in a manner prescribed below. The penalty described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and submitted to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

The name and number of the case and Respondent's Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN), 36-3582724, shall appear on the check. A copy of the certified check, money order or record of electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Zemeheret Bereket-Ab Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 W. Randolph St., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601

- 2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(g) (2002), interest shall accrue on any payment not paid within the time period prescribed above at the maximum rate allowable under Section 1003(a) of the Illinois Income Tax Act, 35 ILCS 5/1003 (2002). Interest on any unpaid payment shall begin to accrue from the date the payment is due and continue to accrue until the date payment is received. When partial payment(s) are made, such partial payment shall be first applied to any interest on unpaid payment then due and owing. All interest on payment owed shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer, payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and delivered to the address and in the manner described above.
- 3. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent may be reached at the following address:

Redfearn Earthmoving, Inc. 1480 Route 20 West Elizabeth, Illinois 61028

4. In the event of default of this Section VIII.A, the Complainant shall be entitled to all available relief including, but not limited to, reasonable costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

## B. Future Use

Notwithstanding any other language in this Stipulation to the contrary, and in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions contained in this Stipulation, including the Release from Liability contained in Section VIII.D, below, the Respondent hereby agrees that this Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations promulgated thereunder for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Section 39(a) and (i) and/or 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39(a) and(i) and/or 5/42(h)(2002). Further, Respondent agrees to waive any rights to contest, in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding, any allegations that these alleged violations were adjudicated.

## C. Cease and Desist

The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint as outlined in Section III.C ("Allegations of Non-Compliance") of this Stipulation.

## D. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) penalty and any specified costs and accrued interest, and to Cease and Desist as contained in Section VIII.C and upon the Pollution Control Board's acceptance and approval of the terms of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters

other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint filed on November 9, 2004. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

- a. criminal liability;
- b. liability for future violation of state, federal, local, and common laws and/or regulations;
- c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations;
   and
- d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois or the Illinois EPA may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

## E. Enforcement of Board Order

1. Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this
Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of
the Illinois Pollution Control Board and may be enforced as such through any and all
available means.

- 2. Respondent agrees that notice of any subsequent proceeding to enforce the Board Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement may be made by mail and waives any requirement of service of process.
- 3. The parties agree that, if the Board does not approve and accept this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, then neither party is bound by the terms herein.
- 4. It is the intent of the Complainant and Respondent that the provisions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Board Order accepting and approving such shall be severable, and should any provision be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be inconsistent with state or federal law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining clauses shall remain in full force and effect.

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

BY:

ROSEMARIN CAZEAO, Chief Environmental Burkau

Assistant Attorney General

DATE: 4/12/05

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BY:

WILLIAM D. INGERSØLL

**Acting Chief Legal Counsel** 

DATE: April 8 2005

REDFEARN EARTHMOVING, INC., an Illinois corporation,

BY:

Mame: J. William Vincent

Title: President

DATE: April 14, 2005

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AB, an Assistant Attorney General, do certify that I caused to be served on this 21st day of April 2005, the foregoing Notice of Filing, a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, and an Agreed Motion for Relief from the Hearing Requirement, upon the persons listed on said Notice by placing same in an envelope bearing sufficient postage with the United States Postal Service located at 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois.

Femerin Binkt As

ZEMEHERET BEREKET-AB

 ${\tt G:\Environmental\ Enforcement\Z\ BEREKET-AB\Redfearn\ NOF&Certificate\ 4-21-05.wpd}$